### **MINUTES**

# MONTANA SENATE 56th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Call to Order: By CHAIRMAN CHUCK SWYSGOOD, on April 8, 1999 at 7:05 A.M., in Room 108 Capitol.

# ROLL CALL

#### Members Present:

Sen. Chuck Swysgood, Chairman (R)

Sen. Tom Keating, Vice Chairman (R)

Sen. Tom A. Beck (R)

Sen. Chris Christiaens (D)

Sen. William Crismore (R)

Sen. Eve Franklin (D)

Sen. Greg Jergeson (D)

Sen. Bob Keenan (R)

Sen. J.D. Lynch (D)

Sen. Dale Mahlum (R)

Sen. Ken Mesaros (R)

Sen. Ken Miller (R)

Sen. Arnie Mohl (R)

Sen. Linda Nelson (D)

Sen. Debbie Shea (D)

Sen. Mike Taylor (R)

Sen. Daryl Toews (R)

Sen. Mignon Waterman (D)

Members Excused: None.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Shannon Gleason, Committee Secretary

Clayton Schenck, Legislative Branch

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and

discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

## Committee Business Summary:

Hearing(s) & Date(s) Posted: HB 135, HB 313, HB 79, HB 389,

HB 660, SB 534, 4/19/1999

Executive Action: HB 260, HB 660, SB 534, HB 389

### HEARING ON HB 135

Sponsor: REP. JAY STOVALL, HD 16, BILLINGS

Proponents: Joe Mazurek, Attorney General

Association

John McEwan, State Personal Division

Mike Crowley, Montana Highway Patrol Association Tom Harrison, Montana Highway Patrol Association

Silkitwa Ferrel, Montana Highway Patrol

Opponents: None

## Opening Statement by Sponsor:

**REP. STOVALL** stated this bill contained an increase of nine percent for Montana Highway Patrol officers. Patrol officers were still below the average of law enforcement salaries even with this increase.

# Proponents' Testimony:

Joe Mazurek, Attorney General, advised several experienced officers had left the patrol because of salary issues. Mr. Mazurek felt several experienced officers were waiting to see what would happen with this bill before they made a decision to stay. Mr. Mazurek stated the Criminal Investigation Department was also having problems retaining employees. Mr. Mazurek indicated officers were being lured from the patrol by other agencies with higher salaries. This was causing the patrol to loose experience, and threatened the safety of the officers.

Craig Reap, Montana Highway Patrol, read **EXHIBIT**(fcs76a01) and handed out **EXHIBIT**(fcs76a02).

Mike Petista, Criminal Investigation Division, stated the employees in the division were on call 24 hours a day, and covered all 56 counties. Mr. Petista was concerned about the department being forced to hire and train new employees. The prefered to hire experienced individuals from Montana agencies. Mr. Petista advised the Fraud Division saved the state over \$13,000,000.00 per year.

Jim Oppendahl, Department of Justice, stated the gambling Control Division was also losing employees to other agencies. Mr. Oppendahl felt it was important to be able to recruit and retain employees, and the pay increase was needed.

Tom Schneider, Montana Public Employees Association, explained this bill was a result of a study commissioned by the previous legislature, and although he did not feel the increase was enough, it was the best solution acceptable.

John McEwan, State Personal Division, read
EXHIBIT(fcs76a03).{Tape : 1; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter
:7:20}

Mike Crowley, Montana Highway Patrol Association, agreed that the patrol was losing experienced and valuable employees. Montana Highway Patrol officers ranked near, or at the bottom, of the 50 state officer pay ranking.

Tom Harrison, Montana Highway Patrol Association, felt this bill was a fairness issue. The patrol officers were professions who represented the state.

Silkitwa Ferrel, Montana Highway Patrol, read EXHIBIT (fcs76a04).

## Questions from Committee Members and Responses:

SEN. KEATING asked what the ratio was to the General Fund and the State Special Revenue, specifically if the General Fund was necessary to match the State Special Revenue. Mr. Mazurek advised the patrol was funded by the State Special Revenue, but the Criminal Gambling Investigation Division was funded through the General Fund.

SEN. KEATING wanted to know the source of the State Special Revenue Funds, and where the Federal money came from. Mr. Mazurek advised it was highway funding, gas tax, and gaming fees. The Federal funds were for Medicaid fraud investigations.

#### Closing by Sponsor:

**REP. STOVALL** stated it was important to have experienced officers for public safety.

#### HEARING ON HB 313

Sponsor: REP. BOB RANEY, HD 26, LIVINGSTON

<u>Proponents</u>: Michelle Lee

Perry Hofferber

Wendy Young, Working for Equality and Economic

Liberation (WEEL)

Carson Strege, Montana People Action
Don Judge, Montana State AFL-CIO

Opponents: Hank Hudson, Department of Public Health and

Human Services (DPHHS)

Mary Ann Wellbank, DPHHS

# Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. RANEY advised this bill was a child support assurance measure. REP. RANEY agreed this was a new concept and would work despite what DPHHS stated. REP. RANEY felt the Federal government would allow, and support the pilot program. REP. RANEY handed out a letter, EXHIBIT (fcs76a05). There were three items required to be considered for the program: a court order for child support, current employment, and not receiving welfare.

# Proponents' Testimony:

Kate Choleau, Montana Woman's Lobby, passed out
EXHIBIT(fcs76a06), a packet of information on the project. Ms.
Choleau explained the concept of using Temporary Assistance for
Needy Families (TANF) and Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds.
This would be a pilot program involving 400 families. Ms.
Choleau read pages one and two from the packet. The TANF Block
Grant money has not be fully spent over the last few years and
would be reduced in two years. Ms. Choleau felt the Fiscal Note
was inflated and overstated. {Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time
Counter: 0}

Michelle Lee rose in support of the bill. Ms. Lee explained other states have saved money with this program. Ms. Lee advised she had called Washington D.C., and they had assured her the state would still be eligible for TANF money using this program. Ms. Lee read the Fiscal Note and handed out EXHIBIT (fcs76a07), a letter from the DPHHS showing the discrepancies in figures.

Wendy Young, WEEL, read **EXHIBIT**(fcs76a08) and passed out **EXHIBIT**(fcs76a09), a letter from a client.

**Perry Hofferber** rose in support of the bill, and stated single fathers need help collecting support, and this bill would also benefit them.

Carson Strege, Montana's People Action, rose in support of the bill.

Don Judge, AFL-CIO, explained people on welfare rolls are not treated like regular people because the system does not allow it. Mr. Judge believed this program would begin to change that.

### Opponents' Testimony:

Hank Hudson, DPHHS, advised the department had opposed the bill since last summer, and passed out EXHIBIT(fcs76a10), the department's concerns. The department felt this would erode the welfare reforms made to date. Mr. Hudson advised there was no time limit on the system, it reduced the work requirement, provided benefits for parents who are separated or where never married, and redefines the TANF program. Mr. Hudson disagreed with the letter from the Federal Government, and believed the program would endanger the state receiving TANF money. {Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 8:13}

Mary Ann Wellbank, DPHHS, read EXHIBIT (fcs76a11) and offered EXHIBIT (fcs76a12), proposed amendments.

### Questions from Committee Members and Responses:

**SEN. LYNCH** wanted to know how the current program prevented out-of-wedlock pregnancy. **Mr. Hudson** stated if a teen parent became pregnant they had to live with a responsible parent to receive checks. **Mr. Hudson** felt that policy did reduce teenage pregnancy.

**SEN. LYNCH** wanted to know about the other states using the program. **Kate Choleau** advised New York has and a program similar to this for six years, and they have saved \$10.00 for every \$1.00 spent. Virginia established a program, however when the administration changed the project was abandoned.

SEN. CHRISTIAENS asked about the funding from HB 2. Mr. Hudson explained the department had already created programs to spend the Federal Block Grant money that was unspent. If this program was allowed the funding would have to be allocated because the other funds have been approved for other projects. SEN. CHRISTIAENS stated the department could move the funds around if it needed. Mr. Hudson agreed.

**SEN. KEATING** asked if the state absorbed the difference between court ordered support under \$200.00, and the \$200.00 payment.

**Kate Choleau** advised that was true, but felt the average would not cause the state to expend money, and was cheaper than the other options.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD advised there was \$46,000,000.00 reallocated under the TANF funds, the majority of which went to child care. CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD asked if that money would be reduced. Mr. Hudson felt if the program grew that was possible, however he would resist taking money from the child care funds.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD questioned the wording of the letter and thought it was too vague regarding the TANF structure. Mr. Hudson agreed, and stated that was his concern. {Tape : 2; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 0}

## Closing by Sponsor:

REP. RANEY referred to a letter in the packet from Lois
Steinbeck, Legislative Staff, stating there was enough money for all the programs. There was a two year sunset, and Legislative Fiancee Committee oversight. REP. RANEY felt the reason the department resisted the program was because it reduced the bureaucracy in the department, and it meant fewer employees.

REP. RANEY stated the work program required real work, not someone sitting in a class learning how to work. {Tape : 2; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 8:50}

### HEARING ON HB 79

Sponsor: REP. MATT BRAINARD, HD 63, MISSOULA

<u>Proponents</u>: Mike O'Connor, Public Employee Retirement System

Tom Schneider, Montana Public Employee Retirement

System (PERS)

John McEwen, Department of Administration Glen Leavitt, Director of Benefits at the

University System

Candice Payne, University teachers Union

Bob Frazier, University of Montana
Willie McCarthy, University of Montana
John Wing, VALIC Retirement Services

SEN. MIKE TAYLOR, SD 37, PROCTOR REP. DOUG MOOD, HD 58, SEELEY LAKE

<u>Opponents</u>: Tom Bilodeau, Montana Education Association (MEA)

Darrell Holser, State AFL-CIO

Vernon Bertleson, Retired Teacher
SEN. SUE BARTLETT, SD 27, HELENA

## Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. BRAINARD read EXHIBIT (fcs76a13), and passed out EXHIBIT (fcs76a14), EXHIBIT (fcs76a15), and EXHIBIT (fcs76a16)

## <u>Proponents' Testimony</u>:

Mike O'Connor, PERS, stated this plan was the result of hundreds of hours of research, meetings, and hearing, PERS takes the plan seriously and would be responsible for the administration of the plan.

Tom Schneider, MPEA, read EXHIBIT (fcs76a17). Mr. Schneider asked to have Section 59, the loan provision, removed. {Tape : 2; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 9:17}

John McEwen, Department of Administration, read
EXHIBIT(fcs76a18).

**Glen Leavitt**, University System, felt 20% of eligible employees would elect the new retirement system, however all classified employees would be better educated about their benefits.

Candice Payne, University Teachers Union, stated the teachers have this system, and felt classified staff should be able to participate in the program. REP. DOUG MOOD, HD 58, SEELEY LAKE supported the bill.

Willie Mccarthy, University of Montana, rose in support of the bill.

John Wing, VALIC Retirement Services, rose in support of the bill. Mr. Wing felt employees would be able to wisely choose their retirement.

# Opponents' Testimony:

Tom Bilodeau, MEA read EXHIBIT (fcs76a19). {Tape : 2; Side : B; Approx. Time Counter : 9:20}

Darrell Holser, State AFL-CIO, felt this would put stars in people's eyes, and they were concerned with the lack of disability coverage.

Vernon Bertelsen, retired teacher, felt this was an invitation to disaster.

SEN. SUE BARTLETT did not consider herself an opponent to the bill, but was concerned with the loan provision, and lack of disability insurance. SEN. BARTLETT was concerned that two legs of retirement for the employees would be subject to the market. SEN. BARTLETT spoke at length about the subcommittee hearings.

## Questions from Committee Members and Responses:

SEN. BECK pointed out the borrowing portion was tied to prime rate, and wanted to know what happened to the long term interest. REP. BRAINARD advised the employee would be borrowing from themselves, therefore it would be lost. SEN. BECK thought people should use the account for collateral instead of withdrawing the money. REP. BRAINARD advised the account could not be used like that because of Federal law.

SEN. LYNCH wondered why there was no disability insurance in the program. REP. BRAINARD stated the committee was trying to allow flexibility, and the number of individuals involved would not make it cost effective. The Department of Administration was trying to separate out disability for all state employees. If that were to happen the pool would be large enough to provide low cost coverage.

**SEN. LYNCH** stated that was fine for next session, but this bill allowed people to go uncovered. He was very concerned about that. **REP. BRAINARD** disagreed.

**SEN. MAHLUM** agreed with the plan, but felt Section 59 did not require people to live within their means.

**SEN. CHRISTIAENS** asked if research showed the number of people, and the cost of borrowing from the plan. **REP. BRAINARD** advised there was very little information, but referred to **REP. MOOD's** employees' plan. He stated there was no abuse by them.

**SEN. CHRISTIAENS** asked why the House changed the vesting. **REP. BRAINARD** felt the person should be vested immediately because the retirement system was part of a compensation plan. **REP. BRAINARD** explain the amendments were requested by the Department of Administration to bring the current compensation system in-line with the other retirement system.

SEN. TAYLOR asked how many hours it took to develop the plan. REP. BRAINARD states hundreds.

SEN. TAYLOR asked Bob Frazier to explain the loan provision. Mr. Frazier, University System, explained their plan had a loan provision attached, and the faculty had not abused the program. The university system had immediate vesting, and disability insurance was offered by a private carrier.

SEN. TAYLOR asked Tom Bilodeau, MEA, if he ever supported the plan, and how this would affect teacher's retirement. Mr. Bilodaeu stated he never has supported the plan, and there was no direct impact to the teachers. He believed this would be a prototype for other plans.

**SEN. WATERMAN** wanted to know what type of plan education was available to the faculty, and the turnover rate. **Mr. Frazier** advised they contracted with a private company to administer and educate people in the plan. **Mr. Frazier** stated they have had a five percent turnover.

SEN. BECK asked what the vesting was for the university plan. Mr. Frazier advised they were vested immediately.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD asked about the General Fund impact, and stated the loan would have an impact because it reduced interest the fund receives. CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD asked why the money was not borrowed from the plan to implement the program. REP. BRAINARD explained Federal law prohibited borrowing from the plan. REP. BRAINARD felt this was a good investment, and pointed out the money would be paid back.

# Closing by Sponsor:

REP. BRAINARD did not believe this plan would effect other plans. The department would not be back requesting loan provisions, and shorted vesting in the other plans. REP. BRAINARD felt the tax penalty would deter people from taking money out of the plan. REP. BRAINARD thought this plan would cater to the transitional employee. {Tape : 3; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 10:15}

The committee took a break until 10:15

#### HEARING ON HB 389

Sponsor: REP. BOB DAVIES, HD 27, BOZEMAN

<u>Proponents</u>: Gary Marbut, Montana Shooting Association
Pat Graham, Department of Fish, Wildlife, and

Parks (DPFWP)

Opponents: None

## Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. DAVIES advised this bill would provide funding for additional shooting ranges, and add the funding to statute. The additional shooting ranges are designed to provide a facility for people sighting in guns, and gaining experience with a firearm. REP. DAVIES felt this may help improve safety to people and animals. The original funding was going to be through auctioning big game tags, or adding \$1.00 per hunting tag. Neither of those ideas were acceptable. REP. DAVIES passed out the proposed amendments EXHIBIT (fcs76a20), and asked to have the words "in addition to the appropriation in HB2" deleted.

# <u>Proponents' Testimony</u>:

Gary Marbut, Montana Shooting Association, read a list of associations supporting the bill. Mr. Marbut estimated 90% of households in the state owned firearms, and felt shooting ranges would reduce accidents. Mr. Marbut was surprised by the amendments, and he felt number five reduced the allocated money. Mr. Marbut felt there should be more money allocated because the money appropriated was spent right away, and this would become a user pay program.

Pat Graham, DFWP, read EXHIBIT (fcs76a21). {Tape : 3; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 10:35}

# <u>Questions from Committee Members and Responses</u>:

**SEN. TAYLOR** asked where the facilities were being built. **Mr. Marbut** did not know where the facilities would be located. The department had an application process, and had received over \$50,000.00 in requests.

**SEN. TAYLOR** wanted to know if the fee increases were being considered in another bill. **Mr. Graham** stated all the fee increases have been killed.

#### Closing by Sponsor:

REP. DAVIES felt this was a needed program.

### HEARING ON HB 660

Sponsor: REP. RED MENAHAN, HD 57, ANACONDA

**Proponents:** Terry Minow, Montana Federation of Teachers and

State Employees

Debra Dirkson, Department of Public Health and

Human Services (DPHHS)

Don Judge, Montana AFL-CIO

Opponents: None

#### Opening Statement by Sponsor:

**REP. MENAHAN** passed out the amendment, **EXHIBIT**(fcs76a22). There were \$3,000,000.00 of appropriations added in the House. Someone also had mistakenly added \$1,000,000.00, instead of \$1.00. This bill allowed the state hospital employees effected by the downsizing to be paid severance pay. This was negotiated at the time the decision was made to build a new facility.

### Proponents' Testimony:

Terry Minow, Montanan Federation of Teachers and State Employees, read EXHIBIT (fcs76a23).

**Debra Dirkson**, DPHHS, rose in support of the bill. There are currently 428 employees at the hospital. The staff reduction would be 100 people. The average tenure was 14 years, so the severance packages were for people who had dedicated years to the state. The department's budget did not provide for the severance packages.

Don Judge, Montana AFL-CIO, supported HB 660.

Questions from Committee Members and Responses: None

### Closing by Sponsor:

**REP. MENAHAN** explained some jobs were being eliminated, while some were retiring. This bill covered both.

## HEARING ON HB 260

Sponsor: REP. DOUG MOOD, HD 58, SEELEY LAKE

Proponents: Peter Blouke, Department of Commerce

REP. BOB PAVLOVICH, HD 37, BUTTE

Dick Crofts, Commissioner of Higher Education

Ralph Peck, Department of Agriculture Mike Malone, Montana State University

John Youngberg, Montana Farm Bureau

Candice Payne, Women Involved in Farm Economics

(WIFE)

Ken Maki, Montana Farmers Union

Bob Stephens, Montana Grain Growers Association

Hal Stearns, University of Montana

Jim Davidson, Montana Economic Development

Association

Jim Smitham, Montana Ambassadors

Evan Barrett, Montana Economic Development Gloria Paladicheck, Richland Economic Development

<u>Opponents</u>: Verner Bertelson, Montana Senior Citizens

Association

Don Judge, Montana AFL-CIO

REP. BOB RANEY, HD 26, LIVINGSTON

REP. HAL HARPER, HD 52, HELENA

SEN. GREG JERGESON, SD 46, CHINOOK

SEN. MACK COLE, SD 4, HYSHAM

Don Hoffman, Department of Revenue

# Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. MOOD stated this bill appropriates \$9,900,000.00 per year to match epscone grants. The money would be appropriated annually by a council, and the emphasis was on improving jobs and income for the state. The grants would be used for research and agriculture. The financing was originally tied to HB 2 because the General Fund would not support the appropriation. REP. MOOD handed out EXHIBIT(fcs76a24), EXHIBIT(fcs76a25), EXHIBIT(fcs76a26), and EXHIBIT(fcs76a27). There were charts containing the same information that REP. MOOD explained to the committee. {Tape : 3; Side : B; Approx. Time Counter : 11:00} REP. MOOD handed out EXHIBIT(fcs76a28) and EXHIBIT(fcs76a29). These were proposed amendments to the bill.

### Proponents' Testimony:

Peter Blouke, Department of Commerce, stated funding for the Growth through Agriculture Program and the Regional Water System in SB 220 were contained in the amendments. Mr. Blouke handed out EXHIBIT(fcs76a30), and thought jobs improving the infrastructure in the state, and counteracting the rapid expansion of the aging population were needed. Mr. Blouke felt

younger people with families would relocate in the state if job opportunities were developed.

**REP. BOB PALVOVICH** rose in support of the bill. **REP. PALVOVICH** thought the permanent trust money should be used to develop a solution to the problems with the open pit in Butte.

Dick Crofts, Commissioner of Higher Education, advised research and development was critical for the survival of the state. Mr. Crofts supported this bill.

Ralph Peck, Department of Agriculture, rose in support of the bill, and stated recommendations from the 2005 task force were incorporated in the bill.

Mike Malone, Montana State University, stated the Bozeman campus completed 60% of the research done by the university system. Last year over \$52,000,000.00 worth of research was done. Most of the funding was Federal, and the money in this bill would allow the required match for the **epscone** grants.

John Youngberg, Montana Farmers Bureau, felt this would provide a long term permanent solution to research. Mr. Youngberg cited several examples of the research being performed at the universities.

Candice Payne, WIFE, supported the bill. Ms. Payne felt if Montana was to maintain a future in agriculture this was needed.

**Ken Maki**, Montana Farmers Union, stated in the past the union supported using the interest. There are tough issue to address, and the state needs to do something to get back on track.

Bob Stephens, Montana Grain Growers Association, rose in support of the bill.

Jim Davidson, Montana Economic Development, rose in support of the bill.

Hal Stearns, University of Montana, rose in support of the bill. Mr. Stearns explained he attended a school reunion last summer, and the majority of the people were employed put of the state. The people wanted to live in Montana, it was not economically feasible.

Jim Smitham, Montana Ambassadors, advised economic development was a long term investment, and felt this was a good investment for the trust money.

Evan Barrett, Montana Economic Development, liked the research funding contained in this bill. The money would also fund agriculture research. Mr. Barrett stated the bill funds research and development, the jobs and income development, rural water systems, TSEP, and expands the capacities of the Coal tax Trust bonding.

Gloria Paldicheck, Richland Economic Development, stated the vehicle was important, not which one. The counties needed research money, water projects, and economic development. Ms. Paladicheck advised the coal tax money was largely gathered from Eastern counties, and those counties should be a part of the future development. {Tape : 3; Side : B; Approx. Time Counter : 11:20}

# Opponents' Testimony:

**Verner Bertelsen**, Montana Senior Citizen Association, read **EXHIBIT** (fcs76a31).

Don Judge, AFL-CIO, advised he supported development in the state, however he could not support the funding source. Mr. Judge felt the changes in agriculture would not be corrected by research, they were a result of changing times and automation. {Tape : 4; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 11:30}

- REP. BOB RANEY, stated this was not a good solution, and the Department of Commerce was being rewarded for not doing a good job. REP. RANEY referred to page 13 of the bill, and reviewed the board set-up and responsibilities. REP. RANEY felt the new money being added to the General Fund through utility taxes should be prioritized and used instead of the trust.
- REP. HAL HARPER, supported the concept of the bill but this scheme for funding and reimbursement was wrong. REP. HARPER advised the Coal Trust has been constitutionally established, and he believed this use was unconstitutional. REP. HARPER advised this had been tried in the with past the Canola Plant in Great Falls. REP. HARPER urged the committee to support the idea of the bill, and change the funding.
- **SEN. GREG JERGESON**, explained he supported the end, but could not support the means. **SEN. JERGESON** handed out **EXHIBIT (fcs76a32)** and reviewed his concerns. **SEN. JERGESON** felt this would be challenged in court and it was unconstitutional.
- SEN. MACK COLE stated if the legislature wanted to stimulate the economy, the coal tax should be lowered. SEN. COLE reviewed the

tax discrepancies between Montana and Wyoming, and felt there would be a problem selling Montana coal when the Tongue River Railroad was built.

# <u>Informational Testimony</u>:

**Don Hoffman,** Department of Revenue, supported the amendments in exhibit 28.

## Questions from Committee Members and Responses:

**SEN. MOHL** stated when **Mr. Blouke** opened his statement he said this was a Jobs and Income measure. There has been a jobs and income program for 15 years and **SEN. MOHL** wanted to know how this was different. **Mr. Blouke** felt the past programs never had the resources to aggressively recruit and assist businesses. **Mr. Blouke** advised this was not corporate welfare, and this money would help smaller Montana businesses.

SEN. MAHLUM stated this bill added another layer of bureaucracy and the Department of Commerce should be able to do this. Mr. Blouke stated the purpose of the board was to review research grant requests to insure the relationship between them, and improving Montana's economy. SEN. MAHLUM thought the department should have someone who could do that now. Mr. Blouke felt there needed to be outside review.

**SEN. WATERMAN** wanted clarification for the funding of the board. **Mr. Blouke** advised the funding would come from allocation of research. **SEN. WATERMAN** stated she understood the wording to mean funds can only be spent on loans for matching grants.

SEN. WATERMAN wanted to know how the grants were reviewed now. Dick Crofts, Commissioner of Higher Education, advised it was a peer review, and they were required to have some relevance to economic development for Montana. SEN. WATERMAN stated this was a second review.

SEN. WATERMAN advised the original review board was going to review the entire process and wanted to know why that board had their duties reduced. REP. MOOD advised it was because the House killed the Jobs and Income Commission. REP. MOOD felt it was better to have citizens review the grants. SEN. WATERMAN pointed out there would be three levels of review. REP. MOOD felt there would be more than that because the Federal government would also review the grants.

SEN. TOEWS asked to postpone action on the bill until he could research the impact to the General Fund under SEN. JERGESON's proposal. {Tape : 4; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 12:00}

### Closing by Sponsor:

REP. MOOD advised the process had been slowed to a crawl and it was frustrating. REP. MOOD disagreed with SEN. JERGESON'S concerns. Greg Petesch, Legislative Legal Division, had reviewed the legality of the bill, and did not feel it was unconstitutional. REP. MOOD felt the present system of lending to the university system was wrong, and should be corrected. REP. MOOD did not feel there was any better use for the trust money than the development of Montana. If there was not investment in the state there would be nothing left to worry about. REP. MOOD felt the citizen board was important because it contained people outside of the system.

The committee recessed until 4:33

#### HEARING ON HB 534

Sponsor: SEN. BOB KEENAN, SD 38, BIGFORK

Proponents: Mona Jamison, Shodair Hospital

Donald Harr, Montana Psychiatric and Mental

Health Association (MPMHA)

Bob Olsen, Mental Health Association (MHA)
Kip Smith, Montana Primary Care Association

Andrea Merrill, Mental Health Association

Dan Anderson, Department of Public Health and

Human Services

Craig Littlefield, Children's Comprehensive

Service (CCS)

Art Noonan, Aware Inc.

Kathy McGowen, Montana Association of Mental

Health Centers

#### Opponents:

# Opening Statement by Sponsor:

SEN. KEENAN handed out grey bill #4 EXHIBIT(fcs76a33), and read EXHIBIT(fcs76a34), the changes in the bill.

# Proponents' Testimony:

Mona Jamison, Shodair Hospital, supported the bill, but requested an amendment to allow the use of impatient beds for children at Shodair Hospital and CCS. Ms. Jamison advised without the amendment children would be forced to go to Billings Deaconess, no matter how far away they lived. Ms. Jamison explained CCS and Shodair had expanded their beds and are currently providing services. {Tape : 4; Side : B; Approx. Time Counter : 00}

**Don Harr**, MCMHA, rose in support of the bill with the amendments by **SEN**. **KEENAN**.

Bob Olsen, MHA, rose in support of the bill and pledged ongoing support while in the transition.

Kip Smith, Montana Primary Care Association, rose in support of the bill and the amendments.

Andrea Merrill, MHA, advised the committee they did the right thing, and as a result of this process Montanans were informed.

Ms. Merrill felt there should be more funding for the program or services would have to be reduced.

Dan Anderson, DPHHS, advised the department supported the bill, and felt it established the appropriate guard rails.

Craig Littlefield, CCS, supported the bill with the amendments offered by Ms. Jamison.

Art Noonan, Aware Inc., agreed the future was uncertain, and everyone needed to join together to move forward.

Kathy McGowen, Montana Association of Mental Health Centers, rose in support of the bill.

# <u>Questions from Committee Members and Responses</u>:

SEN. FRANKLIN wanted clarification of the Federal requirements for actuary soundness. Frank Cote, Deputy Insurance Commissioner, advised the committee they would be requesting a Fiscal Note asking for two FTE to oversee the next managed care provider. Mr. Cote believed the FTE would be needed because of the wording in the bill, and the requirements of the department.

SEN. TOEWS was concerned with all the "may" wording in the bill. Greg Petesch advised the bill was drafted to give legislative directive for the transition. CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD stated the department needed to be flexible to allow services to continue through the transition period.

**SEN. CHRISTIAENS** was concerned that the bill may give someone the idea it was okay to return to fee for service and wanted clarification. **SEN. KEENAN** advised **SEN. WATERMAN** had an amendment to address those concerns, and stated the department has been advised that was not the intent.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD stated Mr. Petesch felt this would allow the Auditor's Department to adopt rules allowing the Commissioner to only explore the financial soundness of a company. Mr. Petesch cited Title 53, chapter 7. Mr. Cote did not interpret the code that way. The committee stated the legislative intent was that the Auditor review the financial soundness of a proposed managed care company, not regulate them.

SEN. FRANKLIN wanted clarification of the ombudsman and auditor's role. SEN. LYNCH agreed.

### Closing by Sponsor:

SEN. KEENAN closed.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD stated he was sure everyone who testified, and the committee had concerns with the transition in the interim. This was the best solution in CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD's mind.

#### EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SB 534

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. LYNCH moved SB 534 AS AMENDED BY THE SUBCOMMITTEE BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion: SEN. LYNCH moved that SB053414.ASF EXHIBIT(fcs76a35) BE
ADOPTED.

#### Discussion:

SEN. CHRISTIAENS reviewed the history of the additional beds. If the amendment was not adopted the two inpatient hospitals would be left out of the system, and the only in-state hospital would be Deaconess. SEN. WATERMAN thought the word shall should be replaced by may. SEN. CHRISTIAENS stated he wanted the wording because he understood the department did not intend to use the beds. SEN. KEATING asked for the department to comment on that statement. DAN ANDERSON, DPHHS, advised the department already had the authority to include the services. The department did not intend to include it because they were going back to the services provided prior to the contract with Magellan. Mr. Anderson did not want to be required to include this service.

<u>Substitute Motion</u>: **SEN. WATERMAN** made a substitute motion that **THE WORD SHALL BE REPLACED WITH MAY IN THE AMENDMENT**.

#### Discussion:

**SEN. CHRISTIAENS** resisted the change and stated Deaconess Hospital would be the only hospital used.

**SEN. LYNCH** also resisted the motion. The idea behind the changes was to allow people easier access to care, this would require them to go to Deaconess.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD favored the motion because under managed care, the acute went to Deaconess, and there was no rate structure to insure the costs did not get out of hand.

**SEN. CHRISTIAENS** commented the hospitals were willing to work with the department, and not charge more than Deaconess.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion carried 12-6 with Christiaens, Franklin, Jergeson, Lynch, Nelson, and Shea voting no.

Motion: SEN. WATERMAN moved that AMENDMENT SB053415.ASF
EXHIBIT (fcs76a36) BE ADOPTED.

### Discussion:

**SEN. WATERMAN** explained the amendments. Number one was not added by the subcommittee, and would need to be adopted.

Mr. Petesch advised the reference to the bill was the original bill. Mr. Petesch felt this needed to be adopted.

Vote: Motion carried unanimously.

Motion/Vote: SEN. KEENAN moved that AMENDMENT SB053416.ASF EXHIBIT (fcs76a37) BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion/Vote: SEN. WATERMAN moved that SB 534 DO PASS AS AMENDED.
Motion carried 16-2 with Christiaens and Lynch voting no.

**SEN. LYNCH** explained this bill had a huge impact on the future, and he felt it was moving to fast. **SEN. MAHLUM** stated he had confidence in the subcommittee, and everyone who had been working on this bill.

### EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 260

Motion: SEN. MAHLUM moved that HB 260 BE CONCURRED IN.

Motion: SEN. KEATING moved that AMENDMENT HB026008.AGP
EXHIBIT(fcs76a38) BE ADOPTED.

#### Discussion:

Mr. Petesch explained the amendment flows the licenses tax payment through the bond account. This would provide the security for the School Bonds. The treasury would retain one years debt service on the bonds. The amendment provides an allocation to the Agriculture account, and percentages from other accounts are to be adjusted according. If SB 220 passes, the funding for the water project would be effected by this bill. {Tape : 5; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 0}

SEN. CHRISTIAENS thought the school bond contingency fund was a fund within the trust. Mr. Petesch advised that was correct. Currently in the trust fund there is a severance tax bond account and the school contingency account. They retain one year's debt repayment. The amendment would hold licence taxes in the special revenue account for the same amount of money.

**SEN. JERGESON** advised if this amendment was adopted his amendments would not work.

<u>Substitute Motion</u>: SEN. JERGESON made a substitute motion that AMENDMENTS SB026009.AGP EXHIBIT (fcs76a39) BE ADOPTED.

### **Discussion**:

SEN. JERGESON advised the amendment eliminated the coal company license tax, restores the 50% allocation to the trust, and restores two trusts within the trust. One trust would be for research and development while the other was for vision 2005 projects. SEN. JERGESON stated he belied the current proposal was unconstitutional, and the bill would be challenged in court. The case could be litigated over a lengthy period of time, and several needed programs would not be funded.

SEN. NELSON agreed with SEN. JERGESON.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD did not support the amendment because it established a trust within a trust, and he felt the interest income would be impacted.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion failed 7-11 with CHRISTIAENS, Franklin, Jergeson, Lynch, Nelson, Shea, and Waterman voting aye.

The original amendment was voted on.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion carried 11-7 with Christiaens, Franklin, Lynch, Shea, Nelson, Waterman, and Jergeson voting no. {Tape : 5; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 5:35}

<u>Motion</u>: SEN. KEATING moved that AMENDMENT HB026011.AGP EXHIBIT (fcs76a40) BE ADOPTED.

### Discussion:

**SEN. JERGESON** felt the amendment should be done, however this was a taxation bill and the Taxation Committee had not heard the bill.

**CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD** advised the amendment establishes the tax be structured similar to the Coal Severance Tax.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion carried 13-5 with Christiaens, Franklin, Jergeson, Lynch, and Nelson voting no.

Motion: SEN. WATERMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB026010.AGP
EXHIBIT(fcs76a41) BE ADOPTED.

#### Discussion:

SEN. WATERMAN advised the amendment changed the board structure.

**CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD** reviewed what lines would be left. The program specialist was being removed.

Vote: Motion carried 17-1 with Lynch voting no.

Motion: SEN. NELSON moved that AMENDMENT HB026001.ACS
EXHIBIT (fcs76a42) BE ADOPTED.

### Discussion:

**SEN. NELSON** explained this brought the board in line with other boards.

Vote: Motion carried unanimously.

Motion: SEN. CRISMORE moved that HB 260 BE CONCURRED IN AS
AMENDED.

### **Discussion**:

**SEN. FRANKLIN** spoke against the bill for several reasons, and one in particular. The MSTA board was established similar to the proposed board, and it did not work. **SEN. FRANKLIN** felt the board should be a citizens review board.

**SEN. WATERMAN** asked why the credit was 100.1%. **SEN. MAHLUN** advised it made the numbers even out.

**SEN. TOEWS** felt peer review allowed people to control issues, and Montana needed some drastic changes. **SEN. TOEWS** felt the agriculture money would not work because nothing was changing the current system.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion carried 13-5 with Christiaens, Lynch, Franklin, Waterman, and Jergeson voting no.

## EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 660

Motion: SEN. BECK moved that HB 660 BE CONCURRED IN.

<u>Motion</u>: SEN. BECK moved that **AMENDMENT HB066002.ASH BE ADOPTED.**The amendments were exhibit 22.

#### Discussion:

**SEN. BECK** advised this appropriated \$250,000.00 to cover the rifted employees.

**SEN. KEATING** asked if these employees were covered under a retraining program. **SEN. KEATING** wanted to know how long the insurance benefits lasted. **John McEwen**, State Personal Division, advised the insurance coverage would be three years.

**SEN. WATERMAN** asked if the department would pay the full cost for three years. **Mr. McEwen** thought that was correct. **Paula Stalin**, Department of Administration, advised there would be no coverage.

There was debate amongst the committee if the amendment was correct. **SEN. BECK** advised **REP. MENEHAN** requested the amendment.

CHAIRMAN SWYSGOOD resisted the amendment because he felt the department had enough money to cover this. There was considerable discussion about who said the Department of Public Health and Humans Services had excess money.

SEN. BECK asked for the committees support on the amendment.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion carried 14-4 with Franklin, Miller, Keating, and Nelson voting no.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BECK moved that HB 660 BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED. Motion carried 12-6 with Keating, Toews, Miller, Nelson, Mohl, and Keenan voting no.

SEN. BECK was assigned to carry the bill.

## EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 389

Motion: SEN. KEATING moved that HB 389 BE CONCURRED IN.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. CRISMORE moved a conceptual amendment THE FUNDING BE REMOVED IN THE BILL with THE FUNDING IN HB 2 STANDING. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion: SEN. KEATING moved that HB 389 BE CONCURRED IN AS
AMENDED.

<u>Substitute Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. WATERMAN made a substitute motion that HB 389 BE TABLED. Substitute motion failed 6-12 with Christiaens, Crismore, Toews, Nelson, Miller, and Waterman voting aye.

Motion: SEN. KEATING moved that AMENDMENT HB038901.ARL
EXHIBIT(fcs76a43) BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously. This
amendment superceded the previous amendment.

Motion/Vote: SEN. KEATING moved that HB 389 BE CONCURRED IN AS
AMENDED. Motion carried 13-5 with Waterman, Miller, Toews,
Crismore, and Miller voting no.

Adjournment: 6:00 P.M.

SEN. CHUCK SWYSGOOD, Chairman

SHANNON GLEASON, Secretary

CS/SG

EXHIBIT (fcs76aad)